

No. 14/13/87-6Lab./536.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court-II, Faridabad in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s. J. A. V. Forging (Pvt.) Ltd., Bhakadi, Faridabad *versus* Ram Bilas Singh.

IN THE COURT OF SHRI U. B. KHANDUJA, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT-II, FARIDABAD

Reference No. 617/93

between

THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S J. A. V. FORGING (PVT.) LTD., PALI BADKHAL ROAD, BHAKADI, FARIDABAD

versus

THE WORKMAN, NAMELY SHRI RAM BILAS SINGH, S/O SHRI UDAI SINGH, C/O I. F. T. U. G., INDRA NAGAR, FARIDABAD.

Present :

None, for the workman.

Shri K. P. Aggarwal, for the management.

AWARD

In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (hereinafter referred to as 'the Act'), the Governor of Haryana referred the following dispute between the parties mentioned above, to this court for adjudication,—*vide* Haryana Government Endorsement No. 29747—752, dated the 13th August, 1993 :—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Ram Bilas Singh is legal and justified ? If not, to what relief, is he entitled to ?

2. Notices were sent to both the parties and they appeared. On the pleadings of the parties issues were framed and the case was fixed up for evidence of the management.

3. At the aforesaid stage, the management submitted an application dated 7th March 1995 that the matter has been settled with the workman and another sum of Rs 2000 has been paid to the workman. Notice of the application was given to the authorised representative for the workman and he appeared. The management would request to file an affidavit in support of its contention that the matter has been settled.

4. Today none is present on behalf of the workman. Case called several times. The workman is thus, proceeded against *ex parte*.

5. I. C. Singal, Director of the management has made statement that the matter has been settled with the workman as per settlement deed Ex. S-1 a sum of Rs. 2,000 was paid to the workman through receipt Ex. S-2. The workman has also given an application, dated 18th February, 1995 in his own hand addressed to the Court confirming the settlement. The workman has clearly indicated in his application that he has given up his right for his reinstatement. I. C. Singal has also furnished an affidavit, dated 13th March, 1995 confirming this position. In the circumstances I am satisfied that the dispute referred for adjudication does not survive. The award is passed accordingly.

U. B. KHANDUJA,

The 15th March, 1995.

Presiding Officer
Labour Court-II,
Faridabad.

Endorsement No. 385, dated the 15th March, 1995.

A copy, with three spare copies, is forwarded to the Financial Commissioner and Secretary to the Government, Haryana, Labour Department, Chandigarh.

U. B. KHANDUJA,

Presiding Officer,
Labour Court-II,
Faridabad.

No. 14/13/87-6Lab./542.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. XIV of 1947) the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Rohtak in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s Swadeshi Udyog, G. T. Road, Bahalgarh, Sonapat *versus* Kamla.

IN THE COURT OF SHRI P. L. KHANDUJA, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, ROHTAK

Reference No. 274 of 1994

between

SHRIMATI KAMLA, C/O TEXTILE WORKERS UNION, 2/216, CITU OFFICE, KATH MANDI, SONEPAT,—WORKMAN

and

THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S. SWADESHI, UDYOG G. T. ROAD, BAHALGARH (SONEPAT).

Present :

None, for the parties.

AWARD

In exercise of powers conferred by sub-clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana has referred the following dispute between the parties, named above to this Court for adjudication,—*vide* Labour Department Endorsement No. ID/S ni./94-93/31025—30, dated 3rd August, 1994:—

Whether the termination of services of Smt. Kamla, is justified and in order? If not, to what relief he is entitled?

2. Case called several times. But none appear on behalf of the parties. It seems that the parties are not interested to pursue their case. Hence the reference petition is dismissed in default. The reference is answered and returned accordingly with no orders as to costs.

The 10th April, 1995.

P. L. KHANDUJA,

Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal/Labour Court,
Rohtak.

Endorsement No. Ref. 297-94/600, dated the 21st March, 1995.

Forwarded (four copies), to the Secretary to Government Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh.

P. L. KHANDUJA,

Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal/Labour Court,
Rohtak.